

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/043,086 01/08/2002 David A. Johnson 210121.489C2 7366 EXAMINER 20350 03/14/2005 7590 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP PARK, HANKYEL TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA .94111-3834 1648

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	As,(cant(s)	
_		10/043,086	JOHNSON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hankyel T. Park	1648	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
THE - Extended after - If the control of the contro	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	September 2003.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 49-61 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
_	Claim(s) <u>49-61</u> is/are rejected.			
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠	0) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2002</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· ·	• ,	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 	•	Treceived in this National Stage	
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		at received.	
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	25.325 356.35 110		
Attachmer	nt(s)			
1) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/3/02</u> .	6) Other: _		

Application/Control Number: 10/043,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).
- 2. When Applicants added new claims 49-62, claim number 58 was inadvertently skipped; therefore, misnumbered claims 59-62 have been renumbered as 58-61, respectively.
- 3. Claim 58 is objected to because of the following informalities: The word "salt" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 59 is objected to because of the following informalities: A typographical error is found in the claim. The claim should depend from claim 50 and not from claim60. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claims 49-57 are objected to because of the following informalities: Numbers associated with "R" should be subscripts instead of superscripts. Appropriate correction is required.

Priority

6. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence(s) of the

Application/Control Number: 10/043,086 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

specification or in an application data sheet by identifying the prior application by application number (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). If the prior application is a non-provisional application, the specific reference must also include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 7. Claims 37-48 were rejected for obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent 6,303,347 in the previous office action. In response, Applicants cancel the rejected claims and add new claims 49-61. Newly added claims do not, however, over come the rejection, as explained below.
- 8. Claims 49-61 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 and 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,303,347. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/043,086

Art Unit: 1648

distinct from each other because in the patent, Applicants claimed a compound with several Markush groups. In the instant application, the same applicants claim a compound that falls within the Markush groups of the issued patent.

- 9. No claim is allowed.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 11. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hankyel T. Park whose telephone number is 571-272-0907. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 a.m. -4:00 p.m. Mon-Fri.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached at 571-272-0902. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/043,086

Art Unit: 1648

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HANKYEL T. PARK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5